

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/965,786	09/27/2001	Rick Rowe	IGTECH.0028P 3692		
22434 7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER		
	VER & THOMAS LLP	WHITE, CARMEN D			
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, C	CA 94704-0778	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3714		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					•			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		09/965,78	6	ROWE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Carmen D.		3714				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communi Leply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of the maximum state of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 lod for reply is specified above, the maximum state reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at a latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. or	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>11/17/03</u> .						
2a)□ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
Clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4aj 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	Claim(s) 1-5,7-11,13-16 and 19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-11,13-16 and 19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
10)∐ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on is/are: plicant may not request that any object placement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) [stion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	knowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applications ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)			_					
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Informat	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or of of s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/965,786

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

RCE

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/17/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedrick et al (6,135,884) in view of Raven et al (5,429,361)

Regarding claims1-3, 5, 8-11, 13, 16 and 19, Hedrick teaches an information system associated with a gaming system including at least one gaming device, the gaming device arranged to present at least one game for play thereon that comprises a player tracking device (#516) associated with said gaming device, said player tracking device including a card reader (#520), a keypad (#522), at least one speaker (col. 8, lines 9-10) and at least one display (#518); a player tracking host arranged to store data regarding one or more players of said gaming device (Fig. 5, #526); and an information

Application/Control Number: 09/965,786

Art Unit: 3714

system host (Fig. 5, #524- game network). Hedrick further teaches the incorporation of a top box secondary display for displaying various types of multimedia information, including promotional information and advertisements (col. 3,lines 15-17 and 52-56) that is located in the proximity of the player tracking device (col. 7, lines 5-20), Hedrick is silent regarding the determination of the eligibility of the player of the gaming device to multimedia information by said information system host for the display of this multimedia information. However, in an analogous gaming system that includes a player tracking device, Raven teaches the display of information to a player which is directly correlated to the information host's data received regarding that player, via the player tracking input (col. 5, lines 15-37). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate player specific promotional information, as taught by Raven, into the multimedia top box display of Hedrick to provide the player with multimedia advertising and promotional data that is specific to that player. This would increase play at the gaming machines and provide a more exciting gaming experience.

Regarding claim 4, Hedrick and Raven teach all the limitations of the claim as discussed above. Hedrick further teaches a plurality of player tracking devices associated with differing gaming devices that are associated with the player tracking host (col. 9, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 7, Hedrick and Raven teach all the limitations of the claim as discussed above. Hedrick further teaches the feature of a top box (col. 3,lines 51-56).

Regarding claims 14-15, Hedrick and Raven teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed above. The references lack the explicit disclosure of player eligibility

Art Unit: 3714

being determined by the accrual of a number of reward points or the player's play of a certain type of game. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to display promotional information and advertisements by means of these types of determinations in order to make the game more player specific. This would make advertising more effective. This would also ensure that players that frequented the game most and paid more money would obtain additional benefits. This would provide them with incentives to continue spending money at the gaming establishment; thus increasing the establishment's profits.

Examiner's Response

The examiner has addressed applicant's arguments in the previous office action, paper #8, which is incorporated herein by reference.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. White

Patent Examiner, 3714